

#### PROPOSED COUNCIL DISTRICT MAPS - SUBMITTED

### **Public Map Submittals**

Map Number	Submitter/ District R Number	Population- Balanced	Notes
101	David Klawe	Yes	
102	David Klawe	Yes	
103	Anaheim Citizen Redistricting Committee*  *Added Respective District Numbers to Lettered Districts	Yes	
107	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 70227	Yes	
108	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 70258	Yes	
109	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 70287	-	Not Contiguous
110	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 73847	-	Not Compact
111	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 75035	Yes	
112	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 82772	-	Not Contiguous
113	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 85207	Yes	
114	Anaheim Now / DistrictR 86593	Yes	
115	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 88372	Yes	

### Public Map Submittals (con't)

Map Number	Submitter/ District R Number	Population- Balanced	Notes
n/a	David Klawe / DistrictR 88372	-	Duplicate of Map 102
n/a	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 70047	-	Overlapping Areas
n/a	Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 96878	-	Communities of Interest Map
n/a	Anaheim Now / DistrictR 97005	-	Duplicate of Map 114
n/a	Anaheim Now / Maptitude Submission	-	Duplicate of Map 114

## **National Demographics Corporation**


Dr. Justin Levitt, City Demographer


Map Number	Submitter/ District R Number	Population- Balanced	Notes
104	National Demographics Corporation	Yes	
105	National Demographics Corporation	Yes	
106	National Demographics Corporation	Yes	

Public Hearing: January 11, 2022


# **MAP 101**

## **David Klawe**





# Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 101 Eastern Area



©2021 CALIPER

Public 101 Current Districts

Public Map 101										
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	57,468	57,959	57,460	58,967	57,524	58,379	347,757		
	Deviation from ideal	-492	-1	-500	1,008	-436	420	1,507		
	% Deviation	-0.85%	0.00%	-0.86%	1.74%	-0.75%	0.72%	2.60%		
	% Hisp	51%	52%	73%	67%	59%	22%	54%		
2020 T + 1 D	% NH White	19%	18%	13%	14%	21%	50%	23%		
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%		
	% Asian-American	24%	24%	11%	15%	15%	22%	19%		
	Total	33,517	35,836	28,981	28,321	30,359	42,287	199,301		
	% Hisp	35%	37%	57%	49%	47%	19%	39%		
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	30%	25%	28%	32%	59%	36%		
0 0 1	% NH Black	6%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%		
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	28%	14%	20%	16%	19%	21%		
	Total	26,114	26,713	24,047	24,490	25,710	39,183	166,257		
	% Latino est.	42%	41%	60%	53%	48%	17%	41%		
	% Spanish-Surnamed	38%	37%	55%	48%	44%	15%	37%		
Voter Registration (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	15%	18%	7%	13%	10%	12%	13%		
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
	% NH White est.	36%	36%	32%	32%	40%	68%	43%		
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%		
	Total	12,178	12,491	11,474	11,426	12,245	25,219	85,033		
	% Latino est.	38%	35%	54%	47%	42%	15%	35%		
	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	33%	50%	43%	39%	14%	32%		
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	16%	7%	12%	8%	10%	11%		
2018)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%		
	% NH White est.	42%	43%	37%	38%	46%	72%	50%		
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%		
		20,049								
	Total	40%	20,677 38%	18,540 57%	18,805 50%	20,657	34,777 16%	133,505 38%		
	% Latino est.	36%		52%						
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed		35%		45%	42%	15%	35%		
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	19%	8%	14%	10%	12%	13%		
	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
	% NH White est.	37%	38%	33%	33%	42%	68%	45%		
ACC D E	% NH Black est.	6%	5%	3% 57.622	3% 58,924	4% 54,970	2% 56,640	4%		
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	58,006	62,585 28%	29%	29%	,	22%	348,747		
Λ	age0-19	27%				27%		27%		
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	59%	56%	60%	54%	57%		
	age60plus	19%	16%	12%	15%	14%	24%	17%		
Immigration	immigrants	37%	39%	39%	41%	37%	23%	36%		
	naturalized	49%	50%	37%	36%	44%	77%	46%		
T 1 .1	english	40%	36%	28%	27%	34%	69%	39%		
Language spoken at home	spanish	36%	41%	63%	58%	52%	12%	44%		
	asian-lang	19%	18%	7%	11%	9%	9%	12%		
	other lang	6%	5%	2%	4%	4%	10%	5%		
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	28%	30%	33%	27%	9%	26%		
Education (among those	hs-grad	50%	47%	43%	44%	45%	37%	44%		
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	17%	14%	13%	18%	29%	18%		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	graduatedegree	5%	5%	5%	4%	7%	17%	7%		
Child in Household	child-under18	42%	41%	44%	44%	38%	32%	40%		
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	66%	70%	67%	69%	68%	67%		
	income 0-25k	17%	17%	19%	18%	13%	7%	15%		
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	20%	24%	20%	10%	19%		
Household Income	income 50-75k	16%	18%	22%	18%	21%	12%	18%		
	income 75-200k	40%	39%	34%	37%	41%	51%	41%		
	income 200k-plus	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	21%	8%		
	single family	47%	46%	50%	45%	45%	83%	54%		
		<b>50</b> 0 /	E 40/	E00/	55%	55%	17%	46%		
Housing State	multi-family	53%	54%	50%	33/0	33/0	1//0	40 / 0		
Housing Stats	multi-family rented	62%	61%	60%	64%	62%	23%	54%		

Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census adjusted by the State of California. Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

From:

Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 2:27 PM

**To:** Redistricting

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] 2021 Redistricting Map submission

**Attachments:** DavidKlawe2022redistrictingplan.xlsx

Warning: This email originated from outside the City of Anaheim. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are expecting the message.

Attached is an Excel worksheet with my adjustments to the 2016 map.

I am moving blocks 73, 84 and 93 from District 3 to District 4. (Using Interstate 5 as the new dividing line).

Moving block 140 from D4 to D3, and block 134 from D5 to D3, using South Street as the separation between D3 and D4.

I am having problems with placing my comments on the Excel Worksheet.

>> I think this map make sense because it takes into consideration all the recent work done for the 2016 redistricting map including communities of interest identified, the CVAP breakdown, especially in the Latino community preference of having districts 3, 4 and 5 Latino majority and plurality districts. In West Anaheim (D1 and D2), the districts remain the same, which matches the 2016 decision to have the split vertical instead of horizontal, and using Euclid Avenue as West Anaheim's boundary. District 1 keeps the Beach Boulevard Specific Plan in the same district, District 2 keeps the Little Arabia community of interest in the same district. District 6 also remains the same, the eastern portion of the city. To equalize the populations on Districts 3, 4 and 5, I only moved 5 Population Unit blocks. I am using Interstate 5 and South Street to divide D3 and D4, this allows the Anaheim Resort District area on the north side to remain together. Then 2 Population Unit Blocks are given to D3 between Lincoln and South west of State College to equalize population amounts. This keeps the spirit of the People's Map, and uses geographic contiguity to draw easily identified areas of the city to match the 2020 Census information. Then 2 Population Unit Blocks are given to D3 between Lincoln and South, west of State College to equalize population amounts. This keeps the spirit of the People's Map, and uses geographic contiguity to draw easily identified areas of the city to match the 2020 Census information.

David M. Klawe

From: dklawe

Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 2:44 PM

**To:** Redistricting

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] 2021 Redistricting map submssion

**Attachments:** DavidKlawe2022redistrictingplan.xlsx

Warning: This email originated from outside the City of Anaheim. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are expecting the message.

Attached is my idea for equalizing Anaheim's 6 districts to the 2020 Census figures.

It only consists of moving 5 Population Unit Blocks.

Blocks 75, 84 and 93 are moved from D3 to D4.

Blocks 134 and 140 are moved to D3.

I had problems attaching my comments to the Excel Worksheet.

>> I think this map make sense because it takes into consideration all the recent work done for the 2016 redistricting map including communities of interest identified, the CVAP breakdown, especially in the Latino community preference of having districts 3, 4 and 5 Latino majority and plurality districts. In West Anaheim (D1 and D2), the districts remain the same, which matches the 2016 decision to have the split vertical instead of horizontal, and using Euclid Avenue as West Anaheim's boundary. District 1 keeps the Beach Boulevard Specific Plan in the same district, District 2 keeps the Little Arabia community of interest in the same district. District 6 also remains the same, the eastern portion of the city. To equalize the populations on Districts 3, 4 and 5, I only moved 5 Population Unit blocks. I am using Interstate 5 and South Street to divide D3 and D4, this allows the Anaheim Resort District area on the north side to remain together. Then 2 Population Unit Blocks are given to D3 between Lincoln and South west of State College to equalize population amounts. This keeps the spirit of the People's Map, and uses geographic contiguity to draw easily identified areas of the city to match the 2020 Census information. Then 2 Population Unit Blocks are given to D3 between Lincoln and South, west of State College to equalize population amounts. This keeps the spirit of the People's Map, and uses geographic contiguity to draw easily identified areas of the city to match the 2020 Census information.

David M. Klawe

Anaheim, CA 92804-2233

From: dklawe

Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2021 12:39 PM

**To:** Redistricting

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Comments regarding Map 102

Warning: This email originated from outside the City of Anaheim. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are expecting the message.

I am writing to you today to add the following statement to my submitted maps, #101 and #102.

After submitting my two maps, I attended all the district community meetings to hear comments about my maps and others, looking for where communities of interests were being broken up. I heard none. So I have decided to not make a revised map.

After the January 11<sup>th</sup> meeting, I will see if one is needed after reviewing the comments made.

The maps I submitted were based on the criteria Dr. Levitt set out, first The Federal Laws, and then the California's Ranked Criteria, along with using the 2016 REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTORAL DISTRICTS TO THE ANAHEIM CITY COUNCIL as a guide to decisions made a few years ago.

While I drew two maps, the second one was drawn using the DistrictR software, which allowed me to fine tune my numbers and why Map 102 is my preferred design.

As a West Anaheim resident, and finding out that West Anaheim grew at the same rate as the city as a whole, it made sense to keep Districts 1 and 2 the same, leaving West Anaheim as the part of the city West of Euclid Avenue. While a Community of Interest, it is too large to be in a single district, but perfect for 2 districts. It also holds smaller communities together, such as the Beach Boulevard Specific Plan and Little Arabia.

And the same for District 6, keeping Anaheim Hills and the surrounding area together. I did make a small change to the border, using the "Easily Identifiable Border" standard in the ranked criteria. I opted to use the Santa Ana River, and Lakeview Avenue to follow existing borders and separations.

The other three districts needed adjustments in population to reach near the 57,804 average required by law.

Using the decisions made in 2016, District 3 was based on the Colony District and its smaller communities, and that District 4 would be the Anaheim Resort business district along with the housing surrounding it. District 5 was the Platinum Triangle, a mixed use area of housing, entertainment and businesses.

The 2016 report states — "While there was early testimony favoring putting the entire southern part of the City in one Council District (which would have combined the Anaheim Resort and Platinum Triangle areas into the same district), several of those speakers came to subsequent meetings and favored the boundary line between Council Districts 4 and 5 that places the Anaheim Resort area and the Platinum Triangle in separate districts. It was felt that residents would be better-served by having a council member for each of those areas. Much of the testimony focused on the connections between the Ponderosa, Guinida Park, and adjacent neighborhoods north of Ball Road."

To choose boundaries that balanced the three districts, and follow Dr. Levitt's guidelines, I opted to use Interstate 5 east of Euclid, South Street (South edge of the Colony), East Street and State College Boulevard for District 3.

District 4 gained a small section of District 3 north of Ball Road and west of Interstate 5. This section has much more in common with the Anaheim Resort, and the resulting traffic and parking issues. The entire area on the West side of Interstate 5 east of Euclid Avenue is in District 4. I used Lewis Street to separate The Resort District from the Platinum Triangle, just like in 2016. A small jut out to State College Avenue north of Ball Road was needed to balance out the population numbers.

District 5 is mainly the area east of State College, with a jut out for the Platinum Triangle, and another jut out to East Street north of Sycamore Avenue. This was done to balance population, but also help to bring up the Hispanic percentage in the district.

After coming up with districts that meant sense to me, I looked at the DistrictR calculations to make sure I was representing all races fairly. I wasn't focused on getting to a specific percentage, due to the 2016 report. It Stated –

"Citizen Voting Age Population figures relate to the ability of Latinos to elect candidates of their choice. As described by the demographer, these are five-year averages taken from the American Community Survey of 2009-2013. Citywide, the Latino CVAP is increasing at around 0.6% per year. It would be reasonable to assume that number is somewhat larger in the central parts of the City where the Latino majority and plurality districts are located. Several speakers testified to their belief that the actual percentages in 2015 are higher than this, thereby effectively making District 4 a Latino CVAP majority district and making District 5 a more effective Latino plurality district for the elections in 2016 and future years. As discussed above, they testified repeatedly that they prefer the opportunity to elect three members to the City Council to having a higher population in two districts but a correspondingly smaller population in a third. Significantly, this position was shared by at least two speakers who are Latino and previously were candidates for City Council. The only testimony to the contrary was from two Latino individuals who do not reside in Anaheim. "

And looking at the current council makeup, we have 5 people of color, including 3 Latinos. This reflects the very diverse population that makes up the city of Anaheim.


And while there is no one correct answer, I feel Map 102 has taken the required Redistricting rules and goals, including preserving the core of existing districts, to come up with a very good answer.


Respectfully submitted,

David M. Klawe


# **MAP 102**

## **David Klawe**





# Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 102 Eastern Area



2020 Total Pop   2020 Total Pop   2020 Total Pop   2020	20 Est. Total Popviation from ideal % Deviation % Hisp % NH White % NH Black Asian-American Total % Hisp % NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total % Latino est.	1 57,468 -492 -0.85% 51% 19% 4% 24% 33,517 35% 32%	2 57,959 -1 0.00% 52% 18% 4% 24% 35,836 37%	3 58,643 684 1.18% 72% 13% 2% 11%	4 58,967 1,008 1.74% 67% 14%	5 57,008 -952 -1.64% 59%	6 57,712 -248 -0.43%	<b>Total</b> 347,757 1,959
Z020 Total Pop  Citizen Voting Age Pop  Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Education (among those age 25+)	viation from ideal % Deviation % Hisp % NH White % NH Black Asian-American Total % Hisp % NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	-492 -0.85% 51% 19% 4% 24% 33,517 35% 32%	-1 0.00% 52% 18% 4% 24% 35,836	684 1.18% 72% 13% 2%	1,008 1.74% 67% 14%	-952 -1.64%	-248	,
Z020 Total Pop  Citizen Voting Age Pop  Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Education (among those age 25+)	% Deviation % Hisp % NH White % NH Black Asian-American Total % Hisp % NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	-0.85% 51% 19% 4% 24% 33,517 35% 32%	0.00% 52% 18% 4% 24% 35,836	1.18% 72% 13% 2%	1.74% 67% 14%	-1.64%		1,959
Citizen Voting Age Pop  Citizen Voting Age Pop  Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Education tables are specific and spec	% Hisp % NH White % NH Black Asian-American Total % Hisp % NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	51% 19% 4% 24% 33,517 35% 32%	52% 18% 4% 24% 35,836	72% 13% 2%	67% 14%		_0.430/2	
Citizen Voting Age Pop  Citizen Voting Age Pop  Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	% NH White % NH Black Asian-American Total % Hisp % NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	19% 4% 24% 33,517 35% 32%	18% 4% 24% 35,836	13% 2%	14%	500/-	-U.+J/0	3.38%
Citizen Voting Age Pop  Citizen Voting Age Pop  Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	% NH Black Asian-American Total % Hisp % NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	4% 24% 33,517 35% 32%	4% 24% 35,836	2%		3270	22%	54%
Citizen Voting Age Pop  Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (	Asian-American Total % Hisp % NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	24% 33,517 35% 32%	24% 35,836		207	21%	50%	23%
Citizen Voting Age Pop  Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Education tamout those age 25+)  Solution (Nov 2020)  Control (Nov 2020)  Solution	Total % Hisp % NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	33,517 35% 32%	35,836	11%	2%	3%	2%	3%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Sy Sq Vote to St Spoke to St Spoke to	% Hisp % NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	35% 32%			15%	15%	22%	19%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Sy Sq Voter to Spot the searce of th	% NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	32%		30,106	28,321	29,650	41,871	199,301
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Sy Sq Vote to St Spoke to St Spoke to	% NH White % NH Black 6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total		J//0	58%	49%	46%	19%	39%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Sy Sq Voter to Spot the searce of th	% NH Black % Asian/Pac.Isl. Total		30%	25%	28%	32%	59%	36%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Sy Sp	6 Asian/Pac.Isl. Total	6%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Sy Si 9% AV	Total	27%	28%	14%	20%	17%	19%	21%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Education to Spot the service of the servic		26,114	26,713	24,773	24,490	25,312	38,855	166,257
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  Education to Spot the service of the servic	/	42%	41%	60%	53%	48%	17%	41%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Spanish-Surnamed	38%	37%	55%	48%	43%	15%	37%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Asian-Surnamed	15%	18%	7%	13%	10%	12%	13%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	NH White est.	36%	36%	32%	32%	40%	68%	43%
Voter Turnout 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
Voter Turnout 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Total	12,178	12,491	11,775	11,426	12,067	25,096	85,033
Voter Turnout 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	% Latino est.	38%	35%	54%	47%	42%	15%	35%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Spanish-Surnamed	35%	33%	50%	43%	39%	14%	32%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Asian-Surnamed	13%	16%	7%	12%	8%	10%	11%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	6 NH White est.	42%	43%	37%	38%	47%	72%	50%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)  Solve Final So	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
Voter Turnout 2020)  We see that the second		20,049			18,805	20,350		
Voter Turnout 2020)  We see that the second	Total	40%	20,677 38%	19,121 57%	50%	45%	34,503 16%	133,505 38%
ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	% Latino est.	36%		52%				
ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Spanish-Surnamed		35%		45%	41%	15%	35%
ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Asian-Surnamed	16%	19%	8%	14%	10%	12%	13%
ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Filipino-Surnamed	4% 37%	3% 38%	2% 33%	2% 33%	2% 42%	2%	2% 45%
ACS Pop. Est.  Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	6 NH White est. 6 NH Black est.						69%	
Age  Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g		6%	5%	3%	3% 58,924	4%	2%	4%
Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	Total	58,006	62,585 28%	60,536	29%	52,633	56,063 22%	348,747
Immigration  Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	age0-19	27%				26%		27%
Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	age20-60	54%	57%	59%	56%	60%	53%	57%
Language spoken at home  Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)  g	age60plus	19%	16%	12%	15%	14%	24%	17%
Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)	immigrants	37%	39%	39%	41%	37%	23%	36%
Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)	naturalized	49%	50%	37%	36%	44%	78%	46%
Language Fluency  Education (among those age 25+)	english	40%	36%	28%	27%	36%	69%	39%
Education (among those age 25+)	spanish	36%	41%	64%	58%	50%	12%	44%
Education (among those age 25+)	asian-lang	19%	18%	7%	11%	10%	9%	12%
Education (among those age 25+)	other lang	6%	5%	2%	4%	5%	10%	5%
age 25+)	beaks Eng. "Less han Very Well"	26%	28%	30%	33%	26%	9%	26%
age 25+)	hs-grad	50%	47%	43%	44%	45%	37%	44%
g	bachelor	17%	17%	14%	13%	18%	29%	18%
	graduatedegree	5%	5%	5%	4%	7%	17%	7%
	child-under18	42%	41%	44%	44%	37%	32%	40%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	66%	70%	67%	70%	68%	67%
i	income 0-25k	17%	17%	18%	18%	13%	7%	15%
ir	income 25-50k	22%	21%	20%	24%	20%	10%	19%
Household Income in		16%	18%	23%	18%	20%	12%	18%
	income 50-75k	40%	39%	35%	37%	41%	51%	41%
	income 50-75k ncome 75-200k	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	21%	8%
	ncome 75-200k	47%	46%	51%	45%	43%	84%	54%
				49%	55%	57%	16%	46%
Housing Stats	ncome 75-200k acome 200k-plus single family	53%	54%	マノ / U			22%	54%
<u> </u>	ncome 75-200k ncome 200k-plus	53% 62%	54% 61%	60%	64%	62%	/U	

Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census adjusted by the State of California. Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

From: dklawe

**Sent:** Monday, October 25, 2021 11:27 PM

**To:** Redistricting

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Submission of a Second Proposed 20201 District map for Anaheim.

Warning: This email originated from outside the City of Anaheim. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are expecting the message.

#### Good day,

Earlier, using the Paper Map tool with the Excel Spreadsheet (sent twice by accident), I submitted the Klawe 1 map on Friday. Since it is slightly different than the new one I am submitting, I am asking that both be submitted for review.

The new one, using the DistrictR tool is similar to the first map, but uses more Geographic Boundaries to draw District Border lines, especially between District 5 and 6. This creates more equal populations in all 6 districts, with a population deviation of just 1.67% per the DistrictR tool.

#### https://districtr.org/plan/69921

Here are my comments for the Klawe 2 submission.

>>This submission uses the spirit of the 2016 District Map, and uses geographic contiguity to help draw new lines where needed to equal out the population numbers from the 2020 Census.

The lines for Districts 3, 4 and 5 had to be adjusted, as they did not grow at the same rate as the city as a whole. This map creates fairly equal population counts.

Districts 1 and 2 grew at the same rate as the city as a whole, and needed no adjustments. This keeps "West Anaheim" as being located West of Euclid Avenue. Also all of the Beach Boulevard Specific Plan in District 1, and the Little Arabia Community of Interest in District 2. West Anaheim has about a 25% Asian Population, which is spread throughout the area. Districts 1 and 2 have about the same Asian breakdown. Also a Hispanic Breakdown of 50%, and like the Asian population, is spread throughout West Anaheim.

I moved the line separating Districts 3 and 4 using Interstate 5 and South Street. Using Interstate 5 keeps the southern area as part of the Resort Area, as it is impacted by the Resort area traffic and parking issues, along with businesses serving the resort. South Street was used in the 2016 District Map.

The Eastern Line between District 4 and 5 uses State College north of Ball, and Lewis Street south of Ball, and matches the 2016 boundaries. The 2016 District Map report states "several of those speakers came to subsequent meetings and favored the boundary line between Council Districts 4 and

5 that places the Anaheim Resort area and the Platinum Triangle in separate districts. It was felt that residents would be better-served by having a council member for each of those areas.", and using Lewis Street does that.

As for the segments between East Street and State College Blvd north of South Street between District 3 and 5, if you use Sycamore as the dividing line, you have two segments of about 10,000 people each. I gave the top portion to District 5, to reflect the 2016 map. It is possible to switch the two segments, giving the South of Sycamore to District 5, but that

would be the major change. Each segment is about 17% the size of an average district, so way above the allowable deviation, and cannot be combined without major changes to the entire map.

As for the CVAP numbers in Districts 3, 4 and 5, they reflect the same basic breakdown as in 2016. The 2016 report talks about having plurality numbers in all 3 districts. The District R tool shows a Hispanic Voting Age Population of 68% in District 3, 63% in District 4, and 54% in District 5. The segment of District 5 West of State College between Sycamore and the Northern edge of the city helps get that count above 50%. This shows 3 districts with a Hispanic majority, and 2 Hispanic plurality districts in West Anaheim.

The border between Districts 5 and 6 use the Santa Ana River and Lakeview Avenue as clear geographic boundaries, and equals out population counts. District 6 remains the Eastern portion of the City, and keeps the Anaheim Hills community of Interest together.

I feel this map meets the goals of creating fairly equal populations in each of the 6 districts in the city, using geographic contiguity as the main tool in drawing the boundaries to create those equal amounts, which matches California Ranked Criteria top priorities. Using the 2016 Districting Process as the guide to this new map is trying to keep the communities of interest identified then together. Of course, some communities were divided then, as does this map, but I attempted to minimize those issues as much of possible. I attended 5 of the seven district meetings to listen to the residents and their concerns.

David Klawe, West Anaheim.<<

If you have any questions about this new submission, please contact me.

David M. Klawe West Anaheim

From: dklawe

Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2021 12:39 PM

**To:** Redistricting

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Comments regarding Map 102

Warning: This email originated from outside the City of Anaheim. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are expecting the message.

I am writing to you today to add the following statement to my submitted maps, #101 and #102.

After submitting my two maps, I attended all the district community meetings to hear comments about my maps and others, looking for where communities of interests were being broken up. I heard none. So I have decided to not make a revised map.

After the January 11<sup>th</sup> meeting, I will see if one is needed after reviewing the comments made.

The maps I submitted were based on the criteria Dr. Levitt set out, first The Federal Laws, and then the California's Ranked Criteria, along with using the 2016 REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ELECTORAL DISTRICTS TO THE ANAHEIM CITY COUNCIL as a guide to decisions made a few years ago.

While I drew two maps, the second one was drawn using the DistrictR software, which allowed me to fine tune my numbers and why Map 102 is my preferred design.

As a West Anaheim resident, and finding out that West Anaheim grew at the same rate as the city as a whole, it made sense to keep Districts 1 and 2 the same, leaving West Anaheim as the part of the city West of Euclid Avenue. While a Community of Interest, it is too large to be in a single district, but perfect for 2 districts. It also holds smaller communities together, such as the Beach Boulevard Specific Plan and Little Arabia.

And the same for District 6, keeping Anaheim Hills and the surrounding area together. I did make a small change to the border, using the "Easily Identifiable Border" standard in the ranked criteria. I opted to use the Santa Ana River, and Lakeview Avenue to follow existing borders and separations.

The other three districts needed adjustments in population to reach near the 57,804 average required by law.

Using the decisions made in 2016, District 3 was based on the Colony District and its smaller communities, and that District 4 would be the Anaheim Resort business district along with the housing surrounding it. District 5 was the Platinum Triangle, a mixed use area of housing, entertainment and businesses.

The 2016 report states — "While there was early testimony favoring putting the entire southern part of the City in one Council District (which would have combined the Anaheim Resort and Platinum Triangle areas into the same district), several of those speakers came to subsequent meetings and favored the boundary line between Council Districts 4 and 5 that places the Anaheim Resort area and the Platinum Triangle in separate districts. It was felt that residents would be better-served by having a council member for each of those areas. Much of the testimony focused on the connections between the Ponderosa, Guinida Park, and adjacent neighborhoods north of Ball Road."

To choose boundaries that balanced the three districts, and follow Dr. Levitt's guidelines, I opted to use Interstate 5 east of Euclid, South Street (South edge of the Colony), East Street and State College Boulevard for District 3.

District 4 gained a small section of District 3 north of Ball Road and west of Interstate 5. This section has much more in common with the Anaheim Resort, and the resulting traffic and parking issues. The entire area on the West side of Interstate 5 east of Euclid Avenue is in District 4. I used Lewis Street to separate The Resort District from the Platinum Triangle, just like in 2016. A small jut out to State College Avenue north of Ball Road was needed to balance out the population numbers.

District 5 is mainly the area east of State College, with a jut out for the Platinum Triangle, and another jut out to East Street north of Sycamore Avenue. This was done to balance population, but also help to bring up the Hispanic percentage in the district.

After coming up with districts that meant sense to me, I looked at the DistrictR calculations to make sure I was representing all races fairly. I wasn't focused on getting to a specific percentage, due to the 2016 report. It Stated –

"Citizen Voting Age Population figures relate to the ability of Latinos to elect candidates of their choice. As described by the demographer, these are five-year averages taken from the American Community Survey of 2009-2013. Citywide, the Latino CVAP is increasing at around 0.6% per year. It would be reasonable to assume that number is somewhat larger in the central parts of the City where the Latino majority and plurality districts are located. Several speakers testified to their belief that the actual percentages in 2015 are higher than this, thereby effectively making District 4 a Latino CVAP majority district and making District 5 a more effective Latino plurality district for the elections in 2016 and future years. As discussed above, they testified repeatedly that they prefer the opportunity to elect three members to the City Council to having a higher population in two districts but a correspondingly smaller population in a third. Significantly, this position was shared by at least two speakers who are Latino and previously were candidates for City Council. The only testimony to the contrary was from two Latino individuals who do not reside in Anaheim. "

And looking at the current council makeup, we have 5 people of color, including 3 Latinos. This reflects the very diverse population that makes up the city of Anaheim.


And while there is no one correct answer, I feel Map 102 has taken the required Redistricting rules and goals, including preserving the core of existing districts, to come up with a very good answer.


Respectfully submitted,

David M. Klawe


## **MAP 103**

## **Anaheim Citizen Redistricting Committee**





## Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 103 (Numbered) Eastern Area



Public Map 103 (Numbered)										
District		1 (E)	2 (D)	3 (A)	4 (C)	5 (B)	6 (F)	Total		
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	57,468	59,045	57,334	57,865	58,316	57,729	347,757		
	Deviation from ideal	-492	1,086	-626	-95	357	-231	1,711		
	% Deviation	-0.85%	1.87%	-1.08%	-0.16%	0.62%	-0.40%	2.95%		
	% Hisp	51%	51%	70%	64%	65%	22%	54%		
2020 T- t-1 D	% NH White	19%	19%	14%	17%	18%	50%	23%		
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%		
	% Asian-American	24%	25%	13%	14%	13%	22%	19%		
	Total	33,517	36,341	29,288	26,258	32,022	41,875	199,301		
	% Hisp	35%	36%	54%	50%	50%	19%	39%		
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	31%	27%	28%	29%	59%	36%		
	% NH Black	6%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%		
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	28%	16%	18%	16%	19%	21%		
	Total	26,114	27,548	24,252	22,525	26,942	38,876	166,257		
	% Latino est.	42%	40%	58%	52%	52%	17%	41%		
M. D O.	% Spanish-Surnamed	38%	37%	53%	48%	47%	15%	37%		
Voter Registration (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	15%	19%	9%	10%	10%	12%	13%		
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
	% NH White est.	36%	36%	33%	34%	37%	68%	43%		
	% NH Black	6%	5%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%		
	Total	12,178	12,996	11,661	9,691	13,403	25,104	85,033		
	% Latino est.	38%	35%	51%	48%	45%	15%	35%		
	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	32%	48%	44%	41%	14%	32%		
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	17%	9%	9%	8%	10%	11%		
2018)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%		
	% NH White est.	42%	43%	38%	38%	44%	72%	50%		
	% NH Black	6%	5%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%		
	Total	20,049	21,418	18,613	17,339	21,564	34,522	133,505		
	% Latino est.	40%	38%	55%	49%	49%	16%	38%		
	% Spanish-Surnamed	36%	34%	50%	45%	45%	15%	35%		
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	20%	10%	11%	10%	12%	13%		
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
	% NH White est.	37%	37%	34%	36%	39%	69%	45%		
	% NH Black est.	6%	5%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%		
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	58,006	62,733	58,790	53,590	59,561	56,067	348,747		
1100 Гор. 1131.	age0-19	27%	28%	28%	28%	28%	22%	27%		
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	57%	59%	58%	53%	57%		
1180	age60plus	19%	15%	14%	13%	14%	24%	17%		
	immigrants	37%	38%	40%	41%	37%	23%	36%		
Immigration	naturalized	49%	50%	37%	34%	44%	78%	46%		
	english	40%	36%	26%	30%	33%	69%	39%		
Language spoken at home	spanish	36%	40%	63%	56%	54%	12%	44%		
Language oponen at nome	asian-lang	19%	18%	8%	10%	9%	9%	12%		
	other lang	6%	6%	2%	4%	4%	10%	5%		
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less	26%	27%	33%	31%	27%	9%	26%		
0 0 ,	than Very Well"									
Education (among those	hs-grad	50%	47%	43%	43%	46%	37%	44%		
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	17%	13%	15%	17%	29%	18%		
ŭ ,	graduatedegree	5%	5%	4%	6%	6%	17%	7%		
Child in Household	child-under18	42%	42%	43%	39%	42%	32%	40%		
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	66%	68%	70%	70%	68%	67%		
	income 0-25k	17%	16%	20%	17%	13%	7%	15%		
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	21%	23%	19%	10%	19%		
Household Income	income 50-75k	16%	18%	22%	21%	19%	12%	18%		
	income 75-200k	40%	40%	34%	35%	42%	51%	41%		
		E0/	5%	4%	3%	6%	21%	8%		
	income 200k-plus	5%								
	single family	5% 47%	47%	48%	35%	56%	84%	54%		
Housing State	single family multi-family	47% 53%	47% 53%	48% 52%	35% 65%	56% 44%	84% 16%	54% 46%		
Housing Stats	single family	47%	47%	48%	35%	56%	84%	54%		

Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census adjusted by the State of California. Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database. Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

#### ANAHEIM CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE

City Council District Map Submission
October 25th, 2021

#### INTRODUCTION

The Anaheim Citizens Redistricting Committee (ACRC) was established in June 2021 to ensure community voices were heard in Anaheim city redistricting processes. Centering the lived experiences and needs of low-income communities of color and working families, the ACRC has pursued maps and other redistricting outcomes that maximize opportunities for year-round organizing and policy advocacy, working toward a city that treats everyone equitably and with justice. Organizing across diverse communities builds bridges, better mitigates potential conflict between groups, and deepens the foundation for collective work over the next decade.

#### **ACRC Participants**

The committee includes 5 members that reflect Anaheim's diverse communities.

- Arab American Civic Council
- ACLU of Southern California
- Orange County Asian Pacific Islander Community Alliance (OCAPICA)
- Orange County Congregation Community Organization (OCCCO)
- Orange County Communities Organized for Responsible Development (OCCORD)

Each member brings a deep connection and engagement with communities on the ground, with a particular focus on community organizing and integrated voter engagement in Anaheim city.

Since June 2021, ACRC members have been meeting virtually once a month to advance their collective work. To promote greater community engagement in redistricting, ACRC have (1) educated members and residents about redistricting, (2) created a space through which they identify and articulate communities of interest (COI) important to them, (3) mobilized community members to present testimony at community meetings, and (4) worked closely with them to create city redistricting maps that best address the needs of low-income communities of color and working families.

Members shared their communities of interest mostly in West Anaheim. A summary of these communities of interest is provided in the following section.

This submission represents the culmination and collective effort of 5 months of engagement.

#### **Summary of Community of Interest**

#### Little Arabia

**Summary:** Located in west Anaheim on Brookhurst Street between Crescent Avenue and Katella Avenue (see Figure A below), Little Arabia is home to more than one hundred small businesses serving Orange County's diverse Middle Eastern, Muslim, and South Asian (AMEMSA) community, including ethnic grocery stores, restaurants, cafes, hair salons, halal butcher shops, and professional services. It is also home to important religious institutions such as the West Coast Islamic Society and nonprofit and social service organizations that serve the community.



Figure A: Little Arabia, Anaheim California.

Source: Caliper Corporation, Maptitude for Redistricting.

**Guidance:** Keep the area of Brookhurst Street between Crescent Avenue and Katella Avenue whole.

#### **Sycamore Junior High School**

**Summary:** Located in west Anaheim at 1801 East Sycamore Street, Anaheim, California 92805 (see Figure B below), families whose children attend this Sycamore Junior High mostly live west of North East Street. It is important for the school to be in the same district where the students and parents live.



Figure B: Sycamore Junior High School, Anaheim California.

Source: Caliper Corporation, Maptitude for Redistricting.

**Guidance:** Keep Sycamore Junior High School with the Historic Anna Drive Latinx community west of North East Street.

#### **Disneyland and Angels Stadium**

**Summary:** Disneyland and the Angel Stadium are two of the largest entertainment communities of interest in Anaheim. Not only are they close in geographic proximity, but also share common commercial interests. Working-class residents agree that they should be drawn in one district together.

Disneyland

Angel Stadium

Corange


Figure C: Disneyland and Angel Stadium, Anaheim California.

Source: Caliper Corporation, Maptitude for Redistricting.

Guidance: Keep Disneyland and Angel Stadium together in one district.

#### ANAHEIM CITY COUNCIL DISTRICTS

#### **Summary**



#### **Highlights of City Council District Plan**

The ACRC plan for Anaheim City centers federal Voting Rights Act compliance and communities of interest that reflect the needs of the City's most impacted residents. With districts drawn to population equality requirements, the plan also respects other redistricting criteria established under state law, such as contiguity, making the lines easily identifiable, and compactness when not conflicting with core principles.

Respect for the federal Voting Rights Act. The City Council District plan complies with Section 2 of the federal Voting Rights Act and includes three districts where cohesive Latinx communities have a real opportunity to elect candidates of choice.

Respect for communities of interest. The plan centered communities of interest in the drawing of City District lines. Immigrants, Latinx, and other populations in need were considered in identifying both communities of interest and geographic areas that were similar to and different from one another.

Respect for population equality. The District plan includes districts whose total populations fall within 5% deviation of the ideal population of 57,960 persons per district, with a percentage spread of less than 10%.

Respect for the requirement of contiguity. The plan draws contiguous districts.

Respect for the requirement of making boundaries easily identifiable. The Council district boundaries are easily identifiable and understandable to residents and follow natural and artificial barriers, streets, and the boundaries of the City.


Respect for the requirement of compactness. The plan draws compact districts where possible, understanding that federal Voting Rights Act compliance and maintaining the integrity of communities of interest may require drawing less compact districts.

Respect for nonpartisanship. The plan was developed to address community needs and does not favor or discriminate against a political party.

#### **Priorities for City Council Districts**

- District A creates a federal Voting Rights Act compliant district around cohesive Latinx communities with the Historic Anna Drive Latinx community west of North East Street and Sycamore Junior High School together. Families whose children attend this Sycamore Junior High mostly live in Anna Drive. Based on official redistricting data released from the Statewide Database at U.C. Berkeley in September, the Latinx community can constitute a majority of a city council district's citizen voting-age population in this part of Anaheim City.
- District B creates a federal Voting Rights Act compliant district around cohesive Latinx communities with mostly working class communities with different interests than the adjacent districts. Based on official redistricting data released from the Statewide Database at U.C. Berkeley in September, the Latinx community can constitute a majority of a city council district's citizen voting-age population in this part of Anaheim City.
- District C creates a federal Voting Rights Act compliant district around cohesive Latinx communities, mainly concentrated within the Ponderosa community located between Disneyland and Angel Stadium. Considering the workforce population within the commercial district, having cohesion would maintain the community. Based on official redistricting data released from the Statewide Database at U.C. Berkeley in September, the Latinx community can constitute a majority of a city council district's citizen voting-age population in this part of Anaheim City.
- District D brings the Little Arabia community of interest together. Little Arabia is home to more than one hundred small businesses serving Orange County's diverse Middle Eastern, Muslim, and South Asian (AMEMSA) community, including ethnic grocery stores, restaurants, cafes, hair salons, halal butcher shops, and professional services. It is also home to important religious institutions such as the West Coast Islamic Society and nonprofit and social service organizations that serve the community. Community members emphasized the significance of maintaining their established community.
- District E maintains the integrity of a long-time homeowner community together.
- District F maintains the integrity of higher income areas in Anaheim Hills.

#### **District A**



**Total Population:** 57,334 **Deviation:** -626 (-1.08%)

Federal Voting Rights Act: SECTION 2 COMPLIANT DISTRICT

Group	Voting Popu			Citizen Voting-Age Population		Voter Registration		Voter Turnout	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Latinx	29,077	65.99%	15,799	54.00%	12,754	52.59%	9,328	50.12%	

**Contiguity:** District A is contiguous. Major streets are divided for the district to be compliant with federal Voting Rights Act and population balance.


#### **Communities of Interest:**

District A is a federal Voting Rights Act compliant district for the Latinx community that unites disproportionately immigrant and low-income communities in Sycamore Junior High School and Historic Anna Drive Latinx community located west of North East Street.

#### **Compactness**

District A is compact, with a population polygon score 0.50 or greater.

#### **District B**



**Total Population:** 58,316 **Deviation:** +356 (+0.61%)

Federal Voting Rights Act: SECTION 2 COMPLIANT DISTRICT

Group	Voting-Age Population		Citizen Voting-Age Population		Vo Regist	ter tration	Voter Turnout	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Latinx	27,204	61.01%	16,287	50.07%	12,623	46.85%	9,649	44.75%

**Contiguity:** District B is contiguous. Major streets are divided for the district to be compliant with federal Voting Rights Act and population balance.


#### **Communities of Interest**

District B is a federal Voting Rights Act compliant district for the Latinx community that unites disproportionately immigrant and low-income communities along the Santa Ana River.

#### Compactness

District B is compact, with a population polygon score 0.50 or greater.

#### **District C**



**Total Population:** 57,865 **Deviation:** -95 (-0.16%)

Federal Voting Rights Act: SECTION 2 COMPLIANT DISTRICT

Group	Voting-Age Population		Citizen Voting-Age Population		Vo Regist	ter tration	Voter Turnout	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Latinx	26,493	59.43%	13,433	50.13%	10,717	47.58%	7,809	45.04%

**Contiguity:** District C is contiguous. Major streets are divided for the district to be compliant with federal Voting Rights Act and population balance.


#### **Communities of Interest:**

District C is a federal Voting Rights Act compliant district for the Latinx community that unites the Ponderosa communities and keeps Disneyland and Anaheim Stadium together that share similar needs and interests.

#### Compactness:

District C is compact, with a population polygon score 0.50 or greater.

#### **District D**



**Total Population:** 59,045 **Deviation:** +1,085 (+1.87%)

Federal Voting Rights Act: N/A

**Contiguity:** District is contiguous. Major streets are divided for adjacent districts A and C to be compliant with federal Voting Rights Act and population balance.


#### **Communities of Interest:**

District D keeps the Little Arabia area of Brookhurst Street between Crescent Ave and Katella Ave whole.

#### Compactness

District D is compact, with a population polygon score 0.50 or greater.

#### **District E**



**Total Population:** 57468 **Deviation:** -492 (-0.85%)

Federal Voting Rights Act Compliance: N/A

**Contiguity:** District E is contiguous. Major streets are divided for adjacent district A to be compliant with federal Voting Rights Act and population balance.


#### **Communities of Interest:**

District E keeps the long-time homeowner communities together.

#### Compactness:

District E is compact, with a population polygon score 0.50 or greater.

### **District F**



**Total Population:** 57,729 **Deviation:** -231 (-0.40%)

Federal Voting Rights Act Compliance: N/A

Contiguity: District is contiguous.


#### **Communities of Interest:**


District F maintains the integrity of higher income communities in Anaheim Hills.

### Compactness:


District F is compact, with a population polygon score 0.50 or greater.

## **MAP 107**





# Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 107 Eastern Area





©2021 CALIPER

Map layers
Public 107
Current Districts


Public Map 107										
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	57,516	57,911	57,680	58,027	58,911	57,712	347,757		
	Deviation from ideal	-444	-49	-280	68	952	-248	1,395		
	% Deviation	-0.77%	-0.08%	-0.48%	0.12%	1.64%	-0.43%	2.41%		
	% Hisp	50%	53%	75%	63%	60%	22%	54%		
2020 Total Pop	% NH White	19%	18%	12%	16%	20%	50%	23%		
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%		
	% Asian-American	24%	24%	9%	16%	16%	1 57,712	19%		
	Total	33,948	35,405	28,328	29,756	29,992	41,871	199,301		
	% Hisp	35%	37%	62%	45%	47%	19%	39%		
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	30%	23%	31%	31%	59%	36%		
	% NH Black	6%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%		
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	26%	29%	12%	20%	18%	19%	21%		
	Total	25,797	27,030	22,743	25,885	25,947	38,855	166,257		
	% Latino est.	41%	42%	63%	50%	49%	17%	41%		
Voter Registration (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	37%	39%	57%	45%	45%	15%	37%		
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	18%	7%	13%	10%	12%	13%		
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
	% NH White est.	37%	36%	30%	35%	38%	68%	43%		
	% NH Black	6%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%		
	Total	12,010	12,659	10,404	12,463	12,401	25,096	85,033		
	% Latino est.	36%	37%	57%	43%	44%	15%	35%		
Viete Terminal	% Spanish-Surnamed	33%	34%	53%	40%	41%	14%	32%		
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	16%	7%	11%	9%	10%	11%		
2018)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%		
	% NH White est.	42%	42%	34%	42%	44%	72%	50%		
	% NH Black	6%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%		
	Total	19,845	20,881	17,350	20,217	20,709	34,503	133,505		
	% Latino est.	39%	40%	60%	47%	47%		38%		
T	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	36%	55%	42%	43%		35%		
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	19%	8%				13%		
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
	% NH White est.	38%	37%	31%	37%	40%	69%	45%		
	% NH Black est.	6%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%		
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	58,549	62,042	59,829	56,486	55,778	56,063	348,747		
•	age0-19	27%	28%	31%	27%	26%	22%	27%		
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	57%	57%	61%	53%	57%		
S	age60plus	19%	15%	12%	16%	13%	24%	17%		
	immigrants	37%	39%	40%	40%	37%	23%	36%		
Immigration	naturalized	50%	49%	38%	39%	38%	78%	46%		
	english	41%	34%	24%	32%	45%         45%           13%         10%           2%         2%           35%         38%           3%         4%           12,463         12,401         2           43%         44%           40%         41%           11%         9%           2%         2%           42%         44%           3%         3%           20,217         20,709         3           47%         47%           42%         43%           13%         11%           2%         2%           37%         40%           3%         4%           56,486         55,778         5           27%         26%           57%         61%           16%         13%           40%         37%           39%         38%           32%         34%           54%         51%           11%         10%           3%         5%           31%         27%           46%         44%           14%         19%           5%	69%	39%		
Language spoken at home	spanish	35%	41%	67%				44%		
	asian-lang	18%	19%	6%				12%		
	other lang	5%	6%	2%				5%		
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	29%	32%				26%		
Dilamin (s. d.	hs-grad	50%	46%	43%	46%	44%	37%	44%		
Education (among those	bachelor	16%	18%	12%				18%		
age 25+)	graduatedegree	5%	5%	5%				7%		
Child in Household	child-under18	41%	42%	48%				40%		
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	66%	71%				67%		
. 0	income 0-25k	18%	16%	18%				15%		
	income 25-50k	22%	20%	22%	22%	20%		19%		
Household Income	income 50-75k	17%	17%	21%	18%	22%		18%		
	income 75-200k	37%	41%	36%	36%	40%		41%		
	income 200k-plus	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%		8%		
	single family	45%	49%	49%	45%	46%		54%		
	multi-family	55%	51%	51%	55%	54%		46%		
Housing Stats	rented	64%	59%	64%	62%	60%		54%		
	owned	36%	41%	36%	38%	40%		46%		
	O WIICG	5570	11/0	50/0	2070	10/0	57,712 -248 -0.43% 22% 50% 22% 41,871 19% 59% 29% 19% 38,855 17% 15% 12% 2% 68% 2% 25,096 15% 14% 10% 1% 72% 2% 69% 2% 56,063 22% 53% 24% 23% 78% 69% 12% 99% 10% 99% 10% 99% 17% 32% 68% 7% 10% 12% 51%	1070		

## **MAP 108**





# Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 108 Eastern Area





©2021 CALIPER

**Current Districts** 


		Public .	Map 10	<del>8</del>				
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	58,021	59,154	59,295	58,502	55,169	57,616	347,757
	Deviation from ideal	62	1,195	1,336	543	-2,791	-344	4,126
	% Deviation	0.11%	2.06%	2.30%	0.94%	-4.81%	-0.59%	7.12%
	% Hisp	48%	55%	76%	64%	57%	22%	54%
2020 Total Pop	% NH White	20%	17%	12%	15%	22%	50%	23%
2020 Total Top	% NH Black	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	25%	23%	9%	17%	15%	22%	19%
	Total	34,539	35,813	29,211	29,986	27,941	41,811	199,301
	% Hisp	33%	39%	62%	47%			39%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	31%	30%	24%	29%	33%	59%	36%
	% NH Black	7%	3%	2%	3%			4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	28%	27%	12%	20%			21%
	Total	25,862	27,765	23,659	26,044	,	,	166,257
	% Latino est.	38%	45%	64%	51%			41%
Voter Registration (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	41%	58%	46%			37%
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	17%	16%	7%	13%			13%
,	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%			2%
	% NH White est.	37%	36%	30%	33%			43%
	% NH Black	7%	3%	2%	3%			4%
	Total	11,993	13,037	11,038	12,576	,		85,033
	% Latino est.	34%	39%	57%	46%			35%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	32%	36%	53%	42%			32%
2018)	% Asian-Surnamed	14%	14%	7%	12%			11%
/	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%		2%
	% NH White est.	42%	42%	34%	39%			50%
	% NH Black	7%	3%	2%	3%			4%
	Total	19,957	21,356	18,046	20,265	-		133,505
	% Latino est.	37%	42%	61%	48%			38%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	33%	38%	56%	44%			35%
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	18%	17%	7%	14%			13%
,	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%			2%
	% NH White est.	37%	37%	31%	34%			45%
	% NH Black est.	7%	3%	2%	3%			4%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	59,074	63,438	61,618	58,516	-	,	348,747
	age0-19	27%	28%	30%	28%			27%
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	58%	57%			57%
	age60plus	19%	15%	12%	15%			17%
Immigration	immigrants	38%	38%	40%	40%			36%
	naturalized	51%	48%	35%	40%			46%
T	english	39%	36%	25%	30%			39%
Language spoken at home	spanish	34%	43%	67%	55%			44%
	asian-lang	20%	17%	6%	12%			12%
	other lang	7%	4%	2%	4%	4%	10%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	27%	28%	32%	31%	26%	9%	26%
Education (among those	hs-grad	48%	48%	43%	45%			44%
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	17%	12%	15%			18%
<u> </u>	graduatedegree	5%	5%	4%	5%			7%
Child in Household	child-under18	39%	44%	46%	43%			40%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	67%	69%	69%			67%
	income 0-25k	19%	15%	19%	16%			15%
77 1 117	income 25-50k	22%	20%	21%	22%			19%
Household Income	income 50-75k	17%	18%	24%	17%			18%
	income 75-200k	37%	41%	32%	40%			41%
	income 200k-plus	4%	6%	4%	4%			8%
	single family	40%	54%	46%	52%			54%
Housing Stats	multi-family	60%	46%	54%	48%			46%
	rented	68%	55%	66%	56%			54%
	owned	32%	45%	34%	44%	43%         19%           33%         59%           4%         2%           18%         19%           24,068         38,859           46%         17%           42%         15%           10%         12%           2%         2%           41%         68%           4%         2%           11,291         25,098           40%         15%           36%         14%           8%         10%           2%         1%           48%         72%           4%         2%           19,375         34,506           43%         16%           39%         15%           11%         12%           2%         2%           43%         69%           4%         2%           50,151         55,951           26%         22%           60%         53%           14%         24%           37%         23%           41%         78%           37%         70%           48%         12%           1	46%	

## **MAP 109**





# Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 109 Eastern Area




©2021 CALIPER


**Current Districts** 

Public Map 109									
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	58,095	57,332	57,917	58,735	58,062	57,616	347,757	
	Deviation from ideal	136	-628	-43	776	103	-344	1,403	
	% Deviation	0.23%	-1.08%	-0.07%	1.34%	0.18%	-0.59%	2.42%	
	% Hisp	50%	52%	72%	63%	63%	22%	54%	
2020 # . 1.D	% NH White	19%	18%	13%	16%	19%	50%	23%	
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	
	% Asian-American	24%	24%	11%	17%	13%	22%	19%	
	Total	33,889	35,464	29,300	26,385	32,452	41,811	199,301	
	% Hisp	35%	37%	56%	48%	49%	19%	39%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	30%	26%	28%	31%	59%	36%	
	% NH Black	6%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	28%	14%	20%	58,062         57,616           103         -344           0.18%         -0.59%           63%         22%           19%         50%           2%         2%           13%         22%           32,452         41,811           49%         19%           31%         59%           3%         2%           16%         19%           26,502         38,859           51%         17%           46%         15%           9%         12%           2%         2%           38%         68%           4%         2%           13,245         25,098           44%         15%           41%         14%           45%         72%           4%         2%           21,214         34,506           49%         16%           44%         15%           10%         12%           2%         2%           40%         69%           3%         2%           40%         69%           3%         2%	21%		
	Total	26,443	26,384	23,991	24,078	26,502	38,859	166,257	
	% Latino est.	42%	41%	59%	50%	,	,	41%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	38%	37%	54%	46%			37%	
Voter Registration (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	18%	8%	14%			13%	
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%			2%	
	% NH White est.	36%	36%	32%	33%			43%	
	% NH Black	6%	5%	2%	4%			4%	
	Total	12,352	12,317	11,414	10,607			85,033	
	% Latino est.	38%	35%	53%	46%	,		35%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	33%	49%	42%			32%	
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	16%	8%	12%			11%	
2018)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%			2%	
	% NH White est.	42%	42%	37%	38%			50%	
	% NH Black	6%	5%	2%	4%			4%	
					18,706				
	Total	20,320 40%	20,406	18,353 56%	47%	,		133,505 38%	
	% Latino est.	36%		51%					
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed		35%		43%			35%	
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	19%	9%	14%			13%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%			2%	
	% NH White est.	37%	38%	34%	35%			45%	
ACC D E	% NH Black est.	6%	5% 61,974	2% 58,999	4% 54,837			4% 348,747	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	58,617	28%	29%	29%	-	,	,	
Δ	age0-19	27%						27%	
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	58%	59%			57%	
	age60plus	19%	16%	14%	12%			17%	
Immigration	immigrants	37%	39%	39%	41%			36%	
	naturalized	49%	50%	35%	35%			46%	
T 1 .1	english	40%	36%	28%	28%			39%	
Language spoken at home	spanish	36%	41%	63%	56%			44%	
	asian-lang	19%	18%	7%	12%			12%	
	other lang	6%	5%	2%	4%	4%	10%	5%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	28%	31%	32%			26%	
Education (among those	hs-grad	50%	47%	42%	44%			44%	
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	17%	13%	15%	17%	29%	18%	
age 251)	graduatedegree	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	17%	7%	
Child in Household	child-under18	42%	41%	43%	44%	39%	32%	40%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	66%	68%	70%	69%		67%	
	income 0-25k	17%	17%	21%	15%	14%	7%	15%	
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	21%	22%	20%	10%	19%	
Household Income	income 50-75k	16%	18%	23%	19%	19%	11%	18%	
	income 75-200k	40%	39%	31%	39%	42%		41%	
	income 200k-plus	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	21%	8%	
	single family	47%	46%	44%	45%			54%	
11 . 0	multi-family	53%	54%	56%	55%			46%	
Housing Stats	rented	62%	61%	66%	62%			54%	
	owned	38%	39%	34%	38%	42%		46%	
	0 11 1100	5570		J 1/0	5576	/0	57,616 -344 -0.59% 22% 50% 22% 41,811 19% 59% 2% 19% 38,859 17% 15% 12% 2% 68% 2% 25,098 15% 14% 10% 1% 72% 2% 534,506 16% 15% 12% 2% 539,501 22% 53,951 22% 53% 24% 23% 78% 70% 12% 9% 10% 10% 9% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	1070	


## MAP II0



## Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 110




## Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 110 Eastern Area




©2021 CALIPER

		Public .	Map 11	0				
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	57,334	58,093	58,101	58,237	57,822	58,170	347,757
	Deviation from ideal	-626	134	142	278	-138	211	903
	% Deviation	-1.08%	0.23%	0.24%	0.48%	-0.24%	0.36%	1.56%
	% Hisp	48%	54%	75%	64%	54%	28%	54%
2020 T- t-1 P	% NH White	20%	17%	11%	16%	27%	44%	23%
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%
	% Asian-American	25%	23%	11%	16%	15%	822         58,170           38         211           24%         0.36%           4%         28%           7%         44%           %         3%           5%         22%           314         38,849           3%         22%           3%         20%           878         36,055           3%         20%           3%         64%           3%         64%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           3%         16%           2%         3%           4%         17%           3%         17%           3%         22%           3%         3432           34,527         3% <td>19%</td>	19%
	Total	34,252	35,101	27,686	31,098	32,314	38,849	199,301
	% Hisp	33%	39%	62%	46%	40%	22%	39%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	30%	22%	30%	40%	55%	36%
0 0 1	% NH Black	7%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	28%	27%	13%	19%	17%	20%	21%
	Total	25,708	27,119	23,601	25,896	27,878	36,055	166,257
	% Latino est.	38%	44%	63%	51%	40%	20%	41%
T. D	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	40%	57%	46%	36%	18%	37%
Voter Registration (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	17%	17%	8%	12%	10%	13%	13%
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%		2%
	% NH White est.	37%	36%	29%	34%	48%		43%
	% NH Black	7%	4%	2%	3%	3%		4%
	Total	11,981	12,688	10,974	12,580	14,973		85,033
	% Latino est.	34%	39%	57%	45%	33%	- í	35%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	31%	36%	53%	42%	31%		32%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	14%	15%	8%	11%	8%		11%
2018)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%		2%
	% NH White est.	43%	41%	33%	40%	56%		50%
	% NH Black	7%	4%	2%	3%	3%		4%
	Total	19,886	20,840	18,119	20,078	23,150		133,505
	% Latino est.	36%	42%	60%	48%	37%	-	38%
		33%	38%	55%	44%			35%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed % Asian-Surnamed	18%	17%	9%	13%	10%		13%
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%			2%
	1	38%	37%	30%	36%			45%
	% NH White est. % NH Black est.	7%	4%	2%	3%			45%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	59,132	61,459	60,160	58,138	55,332		348,747
ACS Pop. Est.	age0-19	27%	28%	30%	27%	-	-	27%
A ~~	U							
Age	age20-60	54%	57% 16%	58% 11%	57%			57%
	age60plus	19% 37%	39%	41%	16% 39%			17%
Immigration	immigrants naturalized							36%
		50%	49%	34%	42%			46%
Languago amalaga at lan	english	39%	36%	23%	32%	44%		39%
Language spoken at home	spanish	34%	42%	68%	54%	42%		44%
	asian-lang	19%	18%	7%	11%	9%		12%
	other lang	7%	4%	3%	3%	5%	9%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	28%	33%	30%	23%		26%
Education (among those	hs-grad	48%	49%	43%	45%	45%		44%
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	17%	14%	14%	18%	29%	18%
age 25+)	graduatedegree	5%	5%	4%	5%	7%	18%	7%
Child in Household	child-under18	41%	42%	47%	41%	38%	31%	40%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	63%	67%	69%	69%	68%	69%	67%
	income 0-25k	19%	15%	16%	19%	12%	8%	15%
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	21%	22%	19%	12%	19%
Household Income	income 50-75k	17%	18%	22%	19%	18%	14%	18%
	income 75-200k	38%	41%	36%	37%	43%	48%	41%
	income 200k-plus	5%	6%	5%	3%	8%		8%
	single family	42%	52%	54%	45%	59%	70%	54%
TT	multi-family	58%	48%	46%	55%	41%		46%
Housing Stats	rented	66%	57%	60%	62%	49%		54%
	owned	34%	43%	40%	38%	51%		46%
	5 Hed	5170	1370	1070	5570	01/0	58,170 211 0.36% 28% 44% 3% 22% 38,849 22% 55% 3% 20% 18% 13% 22% 64% 3% 21,837 16% 15% 11% 1% 70% 3% 31,432 19% 17% 12% 2% 65% 3% 54,527 22% 56% 22% 56% 22% 56% 22% 56% 22% 56% 22% 56% 62% 27% 66% 62% 27% 66% 62% 20% 10% 9% 12% 18% 31% 69% 8% 12% 14% 48% 18%	1070


## MAP III



### Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 111




## Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 111 Eastern Area




©2021 CALIPER

Public Map 111									
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	58,531	57,492	58,619	57,933	57,390	57,792	347,757	
	Deviation from ideal	572	-468	660	-27	-570	-168	1,229	
	% Deviation	0.99%	-0.81%	1.14%	-0.05%	-0.98%	-0.29%	2.12%	
	% Hisp	48%	52%	71%	64%	65%	22%	54%	
2020 E . LD	% NH White	20%	18%	13%	16%	19%	50%	23%	
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	
	% Asian-American	25%	25%	12%	15%	13%	22%	19%	
	Total	34,908	34,401	29,578	26,693	31,803	41,918	199,301	
	% Hisp	33%	37%	55%	50%	50%	19%	39%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	31%	26%	28%	30%	59%	36%	
	% NH Black	7%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	28%	15%	20%	57,390         57,792           -570         -168           -0.98%         -0.29%           65%         22%           19%         50%           2%         2%           13%         22%           31,803         41,918           50%         19%           30%         59%	21%		
	Total	26,335	26,782	24,123	24,301	25,822	38,894	166,257	
	% Latino est.	39%	41%	59%	53%	,	,	41%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	38%	54%	48%			37%	
Voter Registration (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	17%	19%	8%	12%			13%	
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	3%	2%			2%	
	% NH White est.	37%	36%	33%	33%			43%	
	% NH Black	7%	4%	2%	3%			4%	
	Total	12,310	12.693	11,249	10,861			85,033	
	% Latino est.	34%	36%	52%	49%		- í	35%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	32%	33%	48%	45%			32%	
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	14%	16%	8%	11%			11%	
2018)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	2%	2%	2%			2%	
	% NH White est.	43%	43%	38%	37%			50%	
	% NH Black	7%	4%	2%	3%			4%	
	Total	20,389 37%	20,733	18,247 56%	18,961 50%		-	133,505 38%	
	% Latino est.	34%		51%					
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed		35%		46% 12%			35%	
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	18%	20%	8%				13%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	3%	2%			2%	
	% NH White est.	38%	37%	35%	34%			45%	
ACC D E	% NH Black est.	7%	4%	2%	3%			4% 348,747	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	60,372	59,488 27%	60,895 29%	54,087 28%	-	,	,	
Δ	age0-19	27%						27%	
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	58%	58%			57%	
	age60plus	19%	16%	13%	14%			17%	
Immigration	immigrants	37%	37%	41%	40%			36%	
	naturalized	50%	50%	35%	35%			46%	
T 1 .1	english	40%	37%	28%	28%			39%	
Language spoken at home	spanish	34%	41%	62%	57%			44%	
	asian-lang	19%	18%	8%	11%			12%	
	other lang	7%	4%	3%	4%	4%	10%	5%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	27%	33%	31%			26%	
Education (among those	hs-grad	48%	48%	43%	44%			44%	
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	17%	13%	15%	16%	29%	18%	
age 25+)	graduatedegree	5%	5%	4%	5%	6%	17%	7%	
Child in Household	child-under18	41%	41%	45%	41%	40%	32%	40%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	63%	67%	70%	68%	69%		67%	
	income 0-25k	19%	16%	19%	16%	14%	7%	15%	
	income 25-50k	22%	22%	20%	22%	21%	10%	19%	
Household Income	income 50-75k	17%	18%	23%	19%			18%	
	income 75-200k	38%	39%	34%	39%			41%	
	income 200k-plus	4%	6%	3%	5%			8%	
	single family	42%	48%	47%	47%			54%	
	multi-family	58%	52%	53%	53%	51%	16%	46%	
Housing Stats	rented	65%	60%	63%	60%	60%	22%	54%	
	owned	35%	40%	37%	40%	40%	78%	46%	
	Owned	JJ / 0	1070	31/0	10/0	10/0	7070	1070	


## **MAP 112**



### Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 112




## Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 112 Eastern Area




©2021 CALIPER

Public Map 112									
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	61,504	57,741	57,114	57,539	55,227	58,632	347,757	
	Deviation from ideal	3,545	-219	-846	-421	-2,733	673	6,277	
	% Deviation	6.12%	-0.38%	-1.46%	-0.73%	-4.71%	1.16%	10.83%	
	% Hisp	48%	52%	69%	62%	71%	23%	54%	
2020 Total Pop	% NH White	20%	18%	14%	18%	14%	50%	23%	
2020 Total Fop	% NH Black	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	
	% Asian-American	25%	24%	13%	15%	11%	55,227         58,632           -2,733         673           4.71%         1.16%           71%         23%           14%         50%           2%         2%           11%         22%           27,946         42,377           56%         19%           26%         59%           2%         2%           14%         19%           23,199         39,275           57%         17%           52%         16%           9%         12%           2%         2%           34%         67%           3%         2%           11,036         25,252           50%         15%           46%         14%           8%         10%           2%         1%           40%         72%           3%         2%           18,200         34,839           55%         16%           50%         15%           9%         12%           2%         36%           36%         68%           3%         2%	19%	
	Total	36,543	35,433	29,884	27,119	27,946	42,377	199,301	
	% Hisp	33%	36%	54%	48%	56%	19%	39%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	32%	27%	29%	26%	59%	36%	
	% NH Black	7%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	28%	16%	20%	14%	19%	21%	
	Total	27,697	28,116	24,149	23,821	23,199	39,275	166,257	
	% Latino est.	39%	42%	58%	51%	57%	17%	41%	
Voter Registration (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	38%	53%	46%	52%	16%	37%	
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	17%	19%	9%	11%	9%	12%	13%	
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	
	% NH White est.	37%	36%	32%	36%	34%	67%	43%	
	% NH Black	7%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	
	Total	12,944	13,934	11,109	10,758	11,036	25,252	85,033	
	% Latino est.	34%	36%	52%	46%	50%	15%	35%	
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	32%	34%	48%	42%	46%	14%	32%	
2018)	% Asian-Surnamed	14%	15%	8%	10%	8%	10%	11%	
2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	
	% NH White est.	43%	44%	37%	41%	40%	72%	50%	
	% NH Black	7%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	
	Total	21,436	22,132	18,100	18,798	18,200	34,839	133,505	
	% Latino est.	37%	39%	55%	48%	55%	16%	38%	
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	33%	36%	50%	43%	50%	15%	35%	
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	17%	19%	9%	12%	9%	12%	13%	
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	
	% NH White est.	38%	38%	34%	38%	36%	68%	45%	
	% NH Black est.	7%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	62,922	59,143	59,807	53,607	56,405	56,863	348,747	
	age0-19	27%	25%	30%	28%	29%	22%	27%	
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	57%	60%	58%	54%	57%	
	age60plus	19%	18%	13%	12%	13%	24%	17%	
Immigration	immigrants	37%	38%	39%	39%	40%	23%	36%	
minigration	naturalized	50%	49%	38%	37%	39%	77%	46%	
	english	40%	37%	30%	31%	27%	69%	39%	
Language spoken at home	spanish	35%	41%	57%	53%	64%	13%	44%	
	asian-lang	19%	18%	9%	12%	7%	9%	12%	
	other lang	7%	4%	3%	5%	3%	10%	5%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	28%	30%	30%	30%	9%	26%	
Education (among these	hs-grad	49%	46%	46%	43%	45%	38%	44%	
Education (among those	bachelor	17%	17%	14%	17%	14%	29%	18%	
age 25+)	graduatedegree	5%	6%	4%	6%	5%	17%	7%	
Child in Household	child-under18	41%	37%	48%	41%	43%		40%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	63%	65%	70%	69%	70%	68%	67%	
	income 0-25k	19%	18%	17%	14%	16%	7%	15%	
	income 25-50k	22%	20%	22%	21%	21%	10%	19%	
Household Income	income 50-75k	17%	17%	20%	20%	22%	12%	18%	
	income 75-200k	37%	39%	37%	40%			41%	
	income 200k-plus	5%	6%	4%	5%			8%	
	single family	42%	52%	49%	44%			54%	
	multi-family	58%	48%	51%	56%			46%	
Housing Stats	rented	66%	57%	61%	62%	63%	23%	54%	
	owned	34%	43%	39%	38%	37%	77%	46%	
	0 11 1100	21/0	1570	22,10	5576	51,70	,0	1070	


## **MAP 113**



### Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 113




## Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 113 Eastern Area




©2021 CALIPER

Public Map 113									
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	59,212	58,167	57,685	57,596	57,309	57,788	347,757	
	Deviation from ideal	1,253	208	-275	-364	-651	-172	1,903	
	% Deviation	2.16%	0.36%	-0.47%	-0.63%	-1.12%	-0.30%	3.28%	
	% Hisp	48%	54%	67%	61%	71%	22%	54%	
2020 T- t-1 D	% NH White	20%	18%	14%	18%	14%	50%	23%	
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	
	% Asian-American	25%	24%	14%	16%	11%	0         57,788           -172         -0.30%           22%         50%           2%         22%           1         41,915           19%         59%           2%         19%           3         38,892           17%         15%           12%         2%           68%         2%           0         25,105           15%         14%           10%         72%           2%         60           34,533         16%           15%         12%           2%         69%           2%         2%           9         56,119           22%         53%           24%         23%           78%         69%           12%         9%           10%         10%           9%         10%           10%         29%           10%         29%           10%         29%           10%         29%           10%         29%           10%         29%           10%         29%           10%	19%	
	Total	35,235	34,935	30,400	27,606	29,211	41,915	199,301	
	% Hisp	33%	36%	52%	47%	56%	19%	39%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	32%	27%	29%	26%	59%	36%	
	% NH Black	7%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	27%	17%	20%	14%	19%	21%	
	Total	26,476	27,845	24,717	24,184	24,143	38,892	166,257	
	% Latino est.	38%	42%	57%	50%	57%	17%	41%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	38%	52%	45%	52%		37%	
Voter Registration (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	17%	19%	9%	11%	8%	12%	13%	
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%		2%	
	% NH White est.	37%	36%	33%	36%	34%		43%	
	% NH Black	7%	3%	3%	4%	3%		4%	
	Total	12,317	13,757	11,421	10,963	11,470		85,033	
	% Latino est.	34%	37%	51%	45%	51%	- í	35%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	31%	34%	47%	41%	47%		32%	
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	14%	15%	9%	10%	8%		11%	
2018)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%		2%	
	% NH White est.	43%	43%	38%	42%	40%		50%	
	% NH Black	7%	3%	3%	4%	3%		4%	
	Total	20,454	21,852	18,592	19,114	18,960		133,505	
	% Latino est.	37%	39%	53%	47%	55%		38%	
		33%	36%	49%	43%	50%		35%	
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed % Asian-Surnamed	17%	19%	10%	12%	9%		13%	
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%		2%	
	1	38%	37%	35%	38%	35%		45%	
	% NH White est. % NH Black est.	7%	3%	3%	4%	3%		45%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	60,657	59,127	60.085	53,991	58,769		348,747	
ACS Pop. Est.	age0-19	27%	25%	30%	28%	29%	,	27%	
A ~~	0								
Age	age20-60	54%	57% 18%	57% 13%	60%	58%		57%	
	age60plus	19% 37%	38%	39%	13% 39%	12% 40%		17%	
Immigration	immigrants naturalized							36%	
		50%	49%	39%	37%	39%		46%	
Language english at home	english	40%	36%	31%	31%	27%		39%	
Language spoken at home	spanish	35%	42%	56%	52%	64%		44%	
	asian-lang	19%	17%	10%	12%	7%		12%	
	other lang	7%	4%	3%	5%	3%	10%	5%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	28%	30%	29%	30%		26%	
Education (among those	hs-grad	49%	46%	47%	43%	45%		44%	
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	17%	14%	17%	14%		18%	
	graduatedegree	5%	6%	4%	6%	5%		7%	
Child in Household	child-under18	41%	38%	48%	40%	43%	32%	40%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	63%	65%	70%	69%	70%		67%	
	income 0-25k	19%	18%	16%	14%	16%	7%	15%	
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	22%	21%	21%	10%	19%	
Household Income	income 50-75k	18%	17%	20%	20%	22%	12%	18%	
	income 75-200k	37%	39%	38%	40%	37%		41%	
	income 200k-plus	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%	21%	8%	
	single family	41%	52%	51%	44%	46%		54%	
11 ' 0	multi-family	59%	48%	49%	56%	54%		46%	
Housing Stats	rented	66%	56%	60%	62%	65%	22%	54%	
	owned	34%	44%	40%	38%	35%		46%	
	5 Hed	5170	1170	1070	5570	22/0	57,788 -172 -0.30% 22% 50% 22% 41,915 19% 59% 2% 19% 38,892 17% 15% 12% 2% 68% 2% 25,105 15% 14% 10% 1% 72% 2% 68% 2% 56,119 22% 53% 24% 23% 78% 69% 12% 9% 10% 9% 17% 32% 69% 12% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 17% 32% 68% 78% 69% 12% 9% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	.070	


#### **Anaheim Now / DistrictR 86593**



### Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 114



# Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 114 Eastern Area



©2021 CALIPER

Public Map 114								
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	57,468	58,123	58,245	56,388	59,154	58,379	347,757
	Deviation from ideal	-492	164	286	-1,572	1,195	420	2,766
	% Deviation	-0.85%	0.28%	0.49%	-2.71%	2.06%	0.72%	4.77%
2020 Total Pop	% Hisp	51%	51%	69%	67%	63%	22%	54%
	% NH White	19%	18%	14%	15%	19%	50%	23%
2020 Total Fop	% NH Black	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	24%	25%	13%	14%	13%	22%	19%
	Total	33,517	35,651	30,388	25,585	31,874	42,287	199,301
	% Hisp	35%	36%	52%	51%	50%	19%	39%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	30%	27%	28%	30%	59%	36%
	% NH Black	6%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	28%	17%	18%	16%	19%	21%
	Total	26,114	27,065	24,922	22,605	26,368	39,183	166,257
	% Latino est.	42%	41%	56%	54%	52%	17%	41%
Voter Registration (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	38%	37%	51%	49%	47%	15%	37%
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	15%	19%	9%	11%	9%	12%	13%
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	36%	36%	34%	32%	38%	68%	43%
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	Total	12,178	12,761	11,918	10,103	12,854	25,219	85,033
	% Latino est.	38%	35%	49%	49%	45%	15%	35%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	32%	46%	45%	42%	14%	32%
2018)	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	17%	9%	10%	8%	10%	11%
2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% NH White est.	42%	42%	39%	37%	45%	72%	50%
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	Total	20,049	21,031	19,256	17,310	21,082	34,777	133,505
	% Latino est.	40%	38%	53%	51%	49%	16%	38%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	36%	35%	48%	47%	45%	15%	35%
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	20%	10%	12%	10%	12%	13%
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	37%	37%	36%	34%	39%	68%	45%
	% NH Black est.	6%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	58,006	61,540	59,892	54,669	58,000	56,640	348,747
	age0-19	27%	28%	28%	29%	27%	22%	27%
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	59%	57%	59%	54%	57%
	age60plus	19%	15%	14%	14%	14%	24%	17%
Immigration	immigrants	37%	38%	39%	41%	37%	23%	36%
minigration	naturalized	49%	50%	37%	33%	45%	77%	46%
	english	40%	36%	29%	27%	33%	69%	39%
Language spoken at home	spanish	36%	40%	60%	59%	54%	12%	44%
	asian-lang	19%	18%	9%	10%	9%	9%	12%
	other lang	6%	6%	2%	4%	4%	10%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	27%	31%	33%	27%	9%	26%
Education (among those	hs-grad	50%	47%	42%	44%	46%	37%	44%
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	18%	15%	13%	16%	29%	18%
age 25+)	graduatedegree	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%	17%	7%
Child in Household	child-under18	42%	42%	42%	44%	39%	32%	40%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	66%	70%	68%	69%	68%	67%
Household Income	income 0-25k	17%	16%	19%	18%	14%	7%	15%
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	20%	24%	20%	10%	19%
	income 50-75k	16%	18%	23%	19%	20%	12%	18%
	income 75-200k	40%	40%	35%	35%	41%	51%	41%
	income 200k-plus	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	21%	8%
	single family	47%	47%	50%	41%	48%	83%	54%
Housing Ctoto	multi-family	53%	53%	50%	59%	52%	17%	46%
Housing Stats	rented	62%	60%	60%	67%	60%	23%	54%
	owned	38%	40%	40%	33%	40%	77%	46%
	•							•

#### **Theresa Bass**

**From:** noreply@redistricting.online

Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2021 11:58 AM

**To:** Redistricting

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] On behalf of mickeyraton Anaheim Now

**Attachments:** 4b5472c0\_Maptitude.bak.zip; Districts Table.html


Warning: This email originated from outside the City of Anaheim. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are expecting the message.

Here is the proposal Anaheim Now! This map holds to the spirit of the original People's Map of 2016 while creating 3 Latino majority districts in Central Anaheim. Like the original map, this respects important neighborhoods and communities of interest as much as possible and is the most legally defensible, basically the best of both worlds.


Message from the Online Redistricting Web Site




**Unidentified Submitter / DistrictR 88372** 



#### Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 115





# Anaheim Redistricting 2021 Public Map 115 Eastern Area




Public Map 115								
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	58,021	57,768	58,228	57,912	58,099	57,729	347,757
	Deviation from ideal	62	-192	269	-48	140	-231	499
	% Deviation	0.11%	-0.33%	0.46%	-0.08%	0.24%	-0.40%	0.86%
	% Hisp	48%	60%	74%	61%	58%	22%	54%
2020 Total Pop	% NH White	20%	15%	13%	16%	22%	50%	23%
2020 10tai 10p	% NH Black	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	25%	19%	10%	19%	15%	22%	19%
	Total	34,539	32,498	28,394	31,394	30,600	41,875	199,301
	% Hisp	33%	45%	59%	41%	45%	19%	39%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	31%	29%	25%	29%	33%	59%	36%
	% NH Black	7%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	28%	22%	13%	26%	17%	19%	21%
	Total	25,862	25,539	24,395	25,453	26,132	38,876	166,257
	% Latino est.	38%	50%	61%	47%	47%	17%	41%
Voter Registration (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	45%	56%	42%	43%	15%	37%
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	17%	13%	7%	17%	10%	12%	13%
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	37%	34%	31%	33%	41%	68%	43%
	% NH Black	7%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
	Total	11,993	11,750	11,678	11,943	12,565	25,104	85,033
	% Latino est.	34%	45%	55%	40%	41%	15%	35%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	32%	41%	51%	37%	38%	14%	32%
2018)	% Asian-Surnamed	14%	12%	7%	15%	9%	10%	11%
2016)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% NH White est.	42%	39%	36%	41%	47%	72%	50%
	% NH Black	7%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
	Total	19,957	19,357	18,929	19,701	21,039	34,522	133,505
	% Latino est.	37%	47%	58%	44%	45%	16%	38%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	33%	43%	53%	40%	41%	15%	35%
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	18%	14%	8%	18%	10%	12%	13%
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	37%	36%	32%	35%	42%	69%	45%
	% NH Black est.	7%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	59,074	60,507	58,725	59,745	54,629	56,067	348,747
	age0-19	27%	29%	30%	28%	26%	22%	27%
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	58%	56%	60%	53%	57%
	age60plus	19%	14%	12%	16%	14%	24%	17%
Immigration	immigrants	38%	39%	40%	40%	37%	23%	36%
minigration	naturalized	51%	44%	35%	42%	43%	78%	46%
	english	39%	34%	27%	30%	36%	69%	39%
Language spoken at home	spanish	34%	49%	66%	51%	48%	12%	44%
	asian-lang	20%	13%	6%	15%	10%	9%	12%
	other lang	7%	4%	1%	3%	6%	10%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	27%	29%	31%	31%	26%	9%	26%
Education (among those	hs-grad	48%	47%	43%	45%	45%	37%	44%
, 0	bachelor	17%	16%	13%	15%	19%	29%	18%
age 25+)	graduatedegree	5%	5%	5%	4%	7%	17%	7%
Child in Household	child-under18	39%	47%	45%	42%	37%	32%	40%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	69%	69%	66%	69%	68%	67%
Household Income	income 0-25k	19%	16%	18%	18%	13%	7%	15%
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	20%	22%	20%	10%	19%
	income 50-75k	17%	19%	21%	18%	20%	12%	18%
	income 75-200k	37%	39%	35%	37%	42%	51%	41%
	income 200k-plus	4%	4%	5%	5%	5%	21%	8%
	single family	40%	48%	53%	48%	45%	84%	54%
Housing Ct.	multi-family	60%	52%	47%	52%	55%	16%	46%
Housing Stats	rented	68%	61%	59%	60%	61%	22%	54%
	owned	32%	39%	41%	40%	39%	78%	46%
			•					•

#### **National Demographics Corporation**





#### Anaheim Redistricting 2021 NDC Map 104 Eastern Area





©2021 CALIPER

**Current Districts** 


		NDC I	Map 104	4				
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	57,468	57,959	58,125	58,302	57,524	58,379	347,757
	Deviation from ideal	-492	-1	166	343	-436	420	911
	% Deviation	-0.85%	0.00%	0.29%	0.59%	-0.75%	0.72%	1.57%
	% Hisp	51%	52%	71%	69%	59%	22%	54%
2020 FI 1 D	% NH White	19%	18%	13%	14%	21%	50%	23%
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	24%	24%	12%	13%	15%	22%	19%
	Total	33,517	35,836	29,652	27,650	30,359	42,287	199,301
	% Hisp	35%	37%	54%	52%	47%	19%	39%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	30%	26%	27%	32%	59%	36%
8 8 1	% NH Black	6%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	28%	17%	18%	16%	19%	21%
	Total	26,114	26,713	24,620	23,917	25,710	39,183	166,257
	% Latino est.	42%	41%	58%	55%	48%	17%	41%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	38%	37%	53%	50%	44%	15%	37%
Voter Registration (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	15%	18%	9%	12%	10%	12%	13%
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	36%	36%	33%	31%	40%	68%	43%
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
	Total	12,178				12.245		
		38%	12,491	11,820	11,080 49%	- ,	25,219	85,033
	% Latino est.		35%	52%		42%	15%	35%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	33%	48%	45%	39%	14%	32%
2018)	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	16%	8%	11%	8%	10%	11%
,	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% NH White est.	42%	43%	38%	37%	46%	72%	50%
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
	Total	20,049	20,677	19,002	18,343	20,657	34,777	133,505
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Latino est.	40%	38%	55%	52%	46%	16%	38%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	36%	35%	50%	47%	42%	15%	35%
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	19%	9%	13%	10%	12%	13%
,	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	37%	38%	34%	32%	42%	68%	45%
	% NH Black est.	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	58,006	62,585	58,644	57,902	54,970	56,640	348,747
	age0-19	27%	28%	28%	30%	27%	22%	27%
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	59%	57%	60%	54%	57%
	age60plus	19%	16%	14%	13%	14%	24%	17%
Immigration	immigrants	37%	39%	39%	41%	37%	23%	36%
minigradon	naturalized	49%	50%	36%	36%	44%	77%	46%
	english	40%	36%	30%	26%	34%	69%	39%
Language spoken at home	spanish	36%	41%	60%	60%	52%	12%	44%
	asian-lang	19%	18%	8%	10%	9%	9%	12%
	other lang	6%	5%	2%	4%	4%	10%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	28%	30%	33%	27%	9%	26%
Education (among those	hs-grad	50%	47%	42%	45%	45%	37%	44%
Education (among those	bachelor	17%	17%	14%	13%	18%	29%	18%
age 25+)	graduatedegree	5%	5%	5%	4%	7%	17%	7%
Child in Household	child-under18	42%	41%	43%	46%	38%	32%	40%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	66%	69%	68%	69%	68%	67%
Household Income	income 0-25k	17%	17%	19%	17%	13%	7%	15%
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	20%	24%	20%	10%	19%
	income 50-75k	16%	18%	22%	18%	21%	12%	18%
	income 75-200k	40%	39%	34%	37%	41%	51%	41%
	income 200k-plus	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	21%	8%
	single family	47%	46%	49%	47%	45%	83%	54%
TT	multi-family	53%	54%	51%	53%	55%	17%	46%
Housing Stats	rented	62%	61%	61%	63%	62%	23%	54%
	owned	38%	39%	39%	37%	38%	77%	46%
	01104	5570	2270	2270	2170	20,0		1070

#### **National Demographics Corporation**





#### Anaheim Redistricting 2021 NDC Map 105 Eastern Area





©2021 CALIPER

**Current Districts** 


		NDC I	Мар 10.	5				
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	57,468	57,959	58,242	57,791	57,918	58,379	347,757
	Deviation from ideal	-492	-1	283	-169	-42	420	911
	% Deviation	-0.85%	0.00%	0.49%	-0.29%	-0.07%	0.72%	1.57%
	% Hisp	51%	52%	73%	67%	58%	22%	54%
2020 FI . 1 D	% NH White	19%	18%	13%	14%	22%	50%	23%
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	24%	24%	11%	15%	16%	22%	19%
	Total	33,517	35,836	28,661	28,414	30,586	42,287	199,301
	% Hisp	35%	37%	58%	49%	46%	19%	39%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	30%	25%	27%	33%	59%	36%
0 0 1	% NH Black	6%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	28%	14%	20%	17%	19%	21%
	Total	26,114	26,713	23,819	24,022	26,406	39,183	166,257
	% Latino est.	42%	41%	61%	53%	48%	17%	41%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	38%	37%	55%	48%	43%	15%	37%
Voter Registration (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	15%	18%	7%	13%	10%	12%	13%
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	36%	36%	31%	32%	40%	68%	43%
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
	Total	12,178	12,491	11,211	11,180	12,754	25,219	85,033
	% Latino est.	38%	35%	54%	47%	42%	15%	35%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	33%	50%	43%	39%	14%	32%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	16%	7%	12%	9%	10%	11%
2018)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% NH White est.	42%	43%	36%	37%	46%	72%	50%
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	Total	20,049 40%	20,677 38%	18,251 58%	18,471 50%	21,280 46%	34,777 16%	133,505 38%
	% Latino est.	36%						
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed		35%	53%	45%	41%	15%	35%
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	19%	8%	14%	10%	12%	13%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	37%	38%	33%	33%	42%	68%	45%
ACC D E	% NH Black est.	6%	5% 62,585	3%	3% 58,724	4%	2%	4%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	58,006	28%	58,688 30%	29%	54,103	56,640 22%	348,747
Δ	age0-19	27%				26%		27%
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	58%	57%	60%	54%	57%
	age60plus	19%	16%	12%	14%	14%	24%	17%
Immigration	immigrants	37%	39%	39%	41%	37%	23%	36%
	naturalized	49%	50%	35%	36%	44%	77%	46%
T 1 .1	english	40%	36%	27%	28%	36%	69%	39%
Language spoken at home	spanish	36%	41%	65%	59%	49%	12%	44%
	asian-lang	19%	18%	6%	11%	10%	9%	12%
	other lang	6%	5%	2%	3%	6%	10%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	28%	31%	32%	26%	9%	26%
Education (among those	hs-grad	50%	47%	43%	44%	45%	37%	44%
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	17%	13%	13%	18%	29%	18%
age 23+)	graduatedegree	5%	5%	4%	4%	7%	17%	7%
Child in Household	child-under18	42%	41%	46%	44%	36%	32%	40%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	66%	69%	68%	70%	68%	67%
Household Income	income 0-25k	17%	17%	19%	18%	13%	7%	15%
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	21%	24%	19%	10%	19%
	income 50-75k	16%	18%	23%	18%	20%	12%	18%
	income 75-200k	40%	39%	33%	37%	42%	51%	41%
	income 200k-plus	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	21%	8%
	single family	47%	46%	47%	47%	47%	83%	54%
TT	multi-family	53%	54%	53%	53%	53%	17%	46%
Housing Stats	rented	62%	61%	63%	62%	60%	23%	54%
	owned	38%	39%	37%	38%	40%	77%	46%
	Owned	5070	27/0	21/0	5070	1070	1170	1070

#### **National Demographics Corporation**





#### Anaheim Redistricting 2021 NDC Map 106 Eastern Area



©2021 CALIPER

**Current Districts** 

		NDC I	Мар 100	6				
District		1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
2020	2020 Est. Total Pop	57,468	57,959	58,916	58,929	56,756	57,729	347,757
	Deviation from ideal	-492	-1	957	970	-1,204	-231	2,173
	% Deviation	-0.85%	0.00%	1.65%	1.67%	-2.08%	-0.40%	3.75%
	% Hisp	51%	52%	70%	71%	57%	22%	54%
	% NH White	19%	18%	14%	13%	22%	50%	23%
2020 Total Pop	% NH Black	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
	% Asian-American	24%	24%	13%	13%	16%	22%	19%
	Total	33,517	35,836	30,410	27,672	29,991	41,875	199,301
	% Hisp	35%	37%	54%	54%	45%	19%	39%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	% NH White	32%	30%	27%	25%	33%	59%	36%
	% NH Black	6%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	27%	28%	16%	18%	17%	19%	21%
	Total	26,114	26,713	25,126	23,684	25,744	38,876	166,257
	% Latino est.	42%	41%	57%	57%	47%	17%	41%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	38%	37%	52%	52%	43%	15%	37%
Voter Registration (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	15%	18%	9%	12%	10%	12%	13%
2020)	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	36%	36%	33%	30%	41%	68%	43%
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
	Total	12,178	12,491	12.093	10,794	12,373	25,104	85,033
	% Latino est.	38%	35%	50%	51%	41%	15%	35%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	35%	33%	47%	47%	38%	14%	32%
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	16%	8%	11%	9%	10%	11%
2018)	% Filipino-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% NH White est.	42%	43%	38%	35%	47%	72%	50%
	% NH Black	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
	Total	20,049 40%	20,677 38%	19,400 54%	18,081 54%	20,776 45%	34,522 16%	133,505 38%
	% Latino est.	36%						
Voter Turnout (Nov	% Spanish-Surnamed		35%	49%	49%	41%	15% 12%	35%
2020)	% Asian-Surnamed	16%	19%	10%	13%	10%		13%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% NH White est.	37%	38%	35%	31%	42%	69%	45%
ACC D E	% NH Black est.	6%	5%	3% 59,849	3%	4% 52,933	2%	4% 348,747
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	58,006 27%	62,585 28%	28%	59,307 30%	25%	56,067 22%	27%
A ~~	age0-19							
Age	age20-60	54%	57%	58%	57%	60%	53%	57%
	age60plus	19%	16%	14%	13%	14%	24%	17%
Immigration	immigrants	37%	39%	38%	42%	37%	23%	36%
	naturalized	49%	50%	36%	36%	44%	78%	46%
T	english	40%	36%	30%	25%	36%	69%	39%
Language spoken at home	spanish	36%	41%	61%	63%	48%	12%	44%
	asian-lang	19%	18%	8%	10%	10%	9%	12%
	other lang	6%	5%	2%	2%	6%	10%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	26%	28%	30%	33%	26%	9%	26%
Education (among those	hs-grad	50%	47%	42%	46%	45%	37%	44%
age 25+)	bachelor	17%	17%	14%	12%	19%	29%	18%
age 257)	graduatedegree	5%	5%	5%	4%	7%	17%	7%
Child in Household	child-under18	42%	41%	42%	48%	36%	32%	40%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	64%	66%	69%	68%	70%	68%	67%
Household Income	income 0-25k	17%	17%	20%	17%	13%	7%	15%
	income 25-50k	22%	21%	20%	24%	19%	10%	19%
	income 50-75k	16%	18%	23%	17%	21%	12%	18%
	income 75-200k	40%	39%	34%	37%	42%	51%	41%
	income 200k-plus	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	21%	8%
	single family	47%	46%	48%	47%	45%	84%	54%
Housing Stats	multi-family	53%	54%	52%	53%	55%	16%	46%
	rented	62%	61%	62%	63%	62%	22%	54%
	owned	38%	39%	38%	37%	38%	78%	46%